

NATIONALISM TODAY

*The Radical Voice of
British Nationalism*



40p

ULSTER'S FIGHT IS

BRITAIN'S FIGHT!



50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey
CRO 2QF.

Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Joe Pearce

Editorial Staff:

Nick Griffin, Nick Wakeling, Jim
Capes, John Field, Steve Brady.

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of
British Nationalism. It is printed, pub-
lished and distributed by the N.T. Press.
Editorially it supports the National
Front.

Unless specifically stated to the con-
trary, the views expressed in signed
articles or letters are the sole responsi-
bility of their authors — and do not
necessarily represent the views of the
Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in
Nationalism Today is not necessarily
indicative that the Editor has any know-
ledge of, interest in, or support for the
product, service, organisation or function
advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manu-
scripts of articles from readers for possible
publication. These should be typed in
double-spacing, and normally be no
longer than 1,250 words. No payment is
made for articles published — which
become *N.T.* copyright unless authors
specifically request otherwise at the time
they submit their manuscripts. The
Editor reserves the right to shorten or
otherwise amend articles accepted for
publication should shortage of space or
editorial judgement require such altera-
tions to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from
Nationalism Today must gain the per-
mission of the Editor and under-take to
include with the re-printed article the
author's name — and the name and
address of *Nationalism Today*.

BULK RATES

10 copies £ 3.50 + 94p post
20 copies £ 6.40 + £1.57p post
30 copies £ 9.60 + £1.57p post
40 copies £12.80 + £1.90p post
50 copies £14.50 + £1.90p post
60 copies £17.40 + £2.10p post
70 copies £20.30 + £2.10p post
80 copies £23.20 + £2.25p post
90 copies £26.10 + £2.25p post
100 copies £26.00 + £2.40p post
200-499 copies: 23p each) Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 20p each for details

All cheques or postal orders should be
made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent
to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road,
Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 16

BLACKS AND THE I.R.A.

THE extent to which Blacks in Britain support the Provisional IRA, and vice versa, was revealed yet again by the agenda of the conference to celebrate the "second anniversary of the Brixton Rising" planned by the 'Black Power' "Brixton Defence Campaign".

The conference, in addition to speakers attacking the National Front and "racist laws", was to feature speakers from Provisional Sinn Fein. The Abeng Centre in Brixton, which receives over £100,000 from the Government, Lambeth Council and Lambeth Council for Community Relations, was the planned venue for the Black/Irish Republican meeting.

Links between Black Immigrants and the IRA are not new. Two years ago leading Black Darcus Howe told a meeting in support of murdering IRA hunger striker Bobby Sands that "the overwhelming majority" of West Indians in Britain supported Republicanism in Ulster. Addressing the London Branch of the 'H-Block' Armagh Committee on April 23rd, 1981, Howe declared:

"Speaking as a West Indian, I will tell you what the attitude of the overwhelming majority of my people to Northern Ireland is: there is a tremendous sympathy bonded in hard political support."

In the same speech the Trinidad-born Howe gloated over the murder of Airey Neave by an INLA car bomb, and linked the take-over of Rhodesia by Black terrorist Robert Mugabe and the Bristol and Brixton Black race riots to the "struggle" of the Provos in Ulster.

Howe is prominent in Black Immigrant circles, having been Editor since 1973 of the multiracialist paper *Race Today*, which has received £60,000 from the liberal pro-racemixing World and British Councils of Churches and has had the backing of the Government Commission for Racial Equality.

The invitation of the Provos to a Brixton Black rally and Howe's remarks illustrate the close links of mutual support between the Irish Republicans in Ulster and Coloured Immigrants on the mainland of Britain.

Those Ulster Loyalists who have expressed reservation about the NF's racial policies, and those White racials abroad who have questioned the NF's total support for the Ulster Loyalists should take note: The fight against the IRA and the fight against Coloured Immigration are one and the same fight, waged against one and the same enemy. Blacks and Republicans know that — so should Loyalists and Racial Nationalists.

THE letter printed below was published in the Daily Telegraph last month. This letter, along with the comments made by Rabbi Dow Marmur recently, serve to illustrate the dual loyalties which are felt by Britain's Jewish and Sikh communities.

Where loyalty truly lies

SIR—Mr John Hunt, M.P, is, of course, right to say (April 14) that soldiers of the Sikh religion fought alongside our own fellow-countrymen during both the wars.

Let us not forget, though, that they were not merely fighting to preserve the British Empire but rather that they fought to defend their own Indian sub-continent from invasion. Equally today, a true Sikh owes his own first allegiance to the northern Indian state of Kashmir.

This paradox is not unusual, and is best summed up by the great Zionist/Jewish philosopher Dr Jacob Klatzkin, who lived in 19th century Germany. He said (of events then) "The boundaries of a country like England or Germany are not the concern of the Jewish people living in those countries, for far above those frontiers there stands their Jewish unity, and their allegiance to the land of Israel."

This may sound odd to the many Jews like myself, who served in the British armed forces during the last war but, however symbolically, we were fighting the evil trying to destroy Judaism rather than actually fighting for Britain herself. Once a Jew—always a Jew.

The conflict of loyalties between a religious and an actual homeland is age old in the history of mankind; the fact that any people should choose the spiritual one for first preference should not be decried.

ABRAHAM ROSENBERG
London, W.3.

"We are not British"

THE RECENT departure of Rabbi Dow Marmur, a leading member of the Reform wing of the Judaic movement in Britain, has helped bring publicity to some of the hypocrisy and double standards implicit in Judaism.

Let any British Nationalist ever question of the loyalty of the Jews to Britain and the cry of "vicious anti-semitic slur" will immediately go up. Yet as Marmur admitted as he left: "I don't think in terms of British and American Judaism. I think of Jews who live in different countries. I really don't feel guilty about leaving Britain, any more than I did about leaving Sweden."


Let any British Nationalist condemn racial intermarriage for threatening the destruction of the British folk as an identifiable ethnic entity and the Jews will scream that "these are the views that led Hitler to exterminate millions in his drive for Aryan racial purity." Yet when it comes to Jews marrying outside their race they are quick to condemn it. In a press interview prior to his departure Marmur admitted that his biggest worry is the "erosion from within" of Judaism, caused by integration with non-Jews, and "exogamy", as he coyly calls racial interbreeding.

Describing survival as "the abiding Jewish preoccupation" Marmur claims that Jews need to survive for the purpose of "witnessing to the existence and wisdom and presence of God" — presumably something mere Gentiles are incapable of.

The number of Jews in Britain is alleged to be declining by 8% a decade, largely due to emigration. If Marmur is typical of those who are leaving, their departure is no loss to Britain.

Asians are above the law

"SOMETHING TO DO WITH
"RELIGIOUS FREEDOM"
I BELIEVE."



EVERY Turban-wearing Sikh you see on a British street is carrying a deadly 8 inch dagger. This horrifying fact was publicised recently after a 16 year old Sikh in Leicester was suspended from school for wearing one.

A leading Leicester Sikh, Mr. Karter Singh Sandhu, told the local education authority that "every baptised Sikh must wear a kirpan (dagger) of this prescribed size as a part of his religion... he wears the kirpan to defend the faith".

Mr. John Turnbull, Leicestershire's Education Director, responded by grovelling to the Sikhs, whining that "the school governors and headmasters do not object to the boy wearing his kirpan, but they are worried that the length of it could be a risk to himself and others at the school".

"We would like to reach a compromise whereby the boy wears a kirpan of say 3 inches long instead of 8. If that happened I am sure that the ban would be lifted immediately."

It would appear that the school authorities are not aware that standard bayonet instruction in the British army is that a stab wound only 2 inches deep can easily cause death.

Such cases are rare in schools only because most Sikhs are baptised when they are about 18. That means, nevertheless, that every adult Sikh is breaking the law by carrying an offensive weapon. That in turn means that the police are deliberately ignoring their statutory duty to arrest every single one of them!

WHITE POWER!

White Power, Smash The IRA and Shove The Dove. Three great new songs from Skrewdriver, all on one record. Send £1.75 for your copy to Rock Against Communism, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

BLACK PIMP GRABS CHURCHILL'S NIECE

A TOP Tory M.P.'s niece was abducted by a Black pimp in Piccadilly on April 9th. Georgina Wilson, niece of Winston Churchill, M.P. for Stretford, Manchester, was given a "lift" by a Negro and two White girls in the early hours of the morning. But instead of taking the M.P.'s niece home, they took her to a Black club in Brixton, and subsequently to a house in Peckham.

While one of the White slags held her at knifepoint, the Negro tried to persuade her to take up prostitution, offering to pimp

for her. As the Tory's niece — perhaps surprisingly in view of her uncle's profession — was not interested in this career opportunity, the Black took her back to her parents' home in exclusive Alexander Square, Kensington.

Since Miss Wilson's famous relation led us all in a war to make the world in general, and London in particular, safe for Black pimps to lead White girls into prostitution, this incident is, perhaps, a taste of poetic justice.

SAVE OUR LAND... From urban sprawl...

THE AMOUNT of British farmland being swallowed up by bricks and concrete is increasing rapidly.

The Council for the Protection of Rural England is worried that "the already excessive loss of acreage to urban development may now be sharply on the increase".

The area of proposed transfer of farmland to other uses which went unopposed by the Ministry of Agriculture rose from 22,000 acres in 1978 to over 34,000 acres last year.

When you consider that Britain has nearly been starved into surrender twice in one lifetime, it becomes clear just how short-sighted this destruction of farmland really is.

From Tory vandals...

BRITAIN's once beautiful countryside has been ravaged in recent years. A threatening trend is the uprooting of hedgerows by profit-grabbing farmers. Not only does this spoil the patchwork effect of the British countryside; it also has a catastrophic effect on the environment and wildlife.

Typical of this type of farmer who cares little for conservation is the government minister Jim Prior, MP for Lowestoft. He recently removed two miles of hedgerow from a 100-acre stretch of land he bought to add to his farm at Brampton in Suffolk.

Prior's act of rural vandalism has upset local villagers.

Robert Martin, whose house backs on to Prior's farm, accused him of poor conservation practice: "The removal of hedgerow and trees is especially damaging to wildlife. You would think that someone in his position would set a better example."

Mr. Prior is unconcerned: "I am only tidying up," he said, dismissing the villagers' complaints.

From poisonous chemicals...

THE GOVERNMENT has been caught out telling blatant lies about the amount of the dangerous weed-killer, 245-T, used in Britain.

In 1979, the Ministry of Agriculture claimed that only 2 tons a year were used. But after Customs statistics showed that much more than this was imported, the Ministry had to admit that the real figure was 56 tons!

245-T contains the poison dioxin, which causes cancer, birth deformities and skin disease. It has been banned by many local authorities. But the Government's Pesticides Advisory Committee still allows it to be used not only by farm and forestry workers, but also by amateur gardeners.

The farm workers' union is campaigning against the use of this deadly poison. As a patriotic Party dedicated to the welfare of our nation and people, the N.F. gives them its full support.

From financial parasites...

FINANCIAL PARASITES in the City now own more of Britain's farmland than the Church and Crown put together. City institutions have been buying up agricultural land on a large scale for only about 15 years, but these new-style absentee landlords now own 685,000 acres of the most productive land in the country. The value of these holdings is around £900 million.

The financial institutions are very secretive about buying land, but overall, they are purchasing about 50,000 acres a year, 10% of all the farmland sold annually.

This has helped to push the price of agricultural land far beyond the reach of the younger sons of farmers and others who want to go into farming.

The N.F. is committed to the expropriation and redistribution of such City-owned land.

Economic, environmental and social necessity makes it vital that nothing is allowed to stand in the way of the re-creation of a much larger class of small independent farmers.



Portrait of a parasite

HEAVYWEIGHT Alex Herbage has made it big in business in more ways than one. He is a capitalist success story, a perfect example of how to make it rich by playing along with the financial system.

He is now installed on a £1 million country estate in Hampshire, weighs approximately 35 stones and has just registered a fresh clutch of UK companies. These will enable him to run his own commodity exchange, to speculate in currencies and to deal in antiques.

But these latest batch of companies are only the tip of Big Alex's iceberg. A look at his business career will show that he is a man of many talents.

First, he tried fringe banking back in the early sixties when he set up the Merchant Guaranty Trust and the Bank of Valetta. Then he discovered commodity trading and by 1968 he was running a Gibraltar-registered Commodities Investment Fund from Guernsey. When the Inland Revenue began to look a bit too closely at his financial affairs he moved to Zurich to promote what became the International Commodities Investment Fund.

What happened to the International Bank Fund and the Western Australian Minerals Fund which he then went on to set up, is not on record.

PARASITE'S PARADISE

Herbage's main US-orientated trading company now seems to be Caprimex which is registered in the Cayman Islands, the parasite's paradise. Caprimex's North American Service Bureau is Trier Investments, which in a rather convoluted fashion is registered in the Isle of Man (its two £1 shares are held by nominees Owl Investments and Wheatstone Investments) and formally operates from Amsterdam.

However, one American investor has discovered to his cost that it is easier to put money into one of Herbage's companies than to get it out. He invested upwards of £100,000 in 1980 via two Belize companies and is now being challenged to provide notarised evidence that they were incorporated and still exist.

Alex Herbage was not available at his

country estate when an inquisitive journalist tried to find out whether he knew what had happened to the American investor's money. "He's not available today and he's going out tomorrow," a woman explained.

Meanwhile, Herbage continues to register more and more companies; the most recent being Sutman Ltd, Sutman Publications Ltd, Sutman Security Ltd and Winchester Corn Exchange Ltd.

There can be no doubting that Alex Herbage is a capitalist success story. He has made millions by setting up companies, speculating and swindling, by dealing, double-dealing and double-crossing. He has grown fat on the labour of others. He is in every way the model capitalist, the perfect entrepreneur; a man who will turn his hand to anything as long as it will make him a fast buck, a fast fiver or a fast franc.

In fact, there only appears to be one thing which Alex Herbage is unable to turn his hand to, and that's an honest day's work!



ALEX HERBAGE: Not a Jew, but does that make his greed any better?

Due reward

THIS is a story of two men who served their company faithfully and got their due reward.

WALTER WEST, 72, worked for Letraset for fifteen years. When he retired in 1977 the company decided to recognise his services. Each Christmas, together with other pensioners from Letraset, he got a bonus of £75.

Suddenly last Christmas, the bonus was stopped. Not a word came from Letraset as to why.

BILL FIELDHOUSE retired last year after thirteen years at Letraset. When he left he was chairman. The company decided to recognise his services. At Christmas they announced a cash payment to him of £700,000.

The key to Militants' purge

WHEN the five leaders of Labour's Militant Tendency were purged from the Party recently, the excuse used by the liberal Capitalist Labour leadership was that Militant was in breach of their criteria for registering as an "approved organisation". Militant was also denounced as "a sinister conspiracy" by Labour leaders.

But another, and considerably more influential section of the Labour Party of which the same could be said, if not more so, has escaped the same fate. The Labour Friends of Israel are and always have been in flagrant breach of the criterion that "approved organisations" must not be funded from abroad: they are bankrolled by the Israeli-based Jewish Agency.

Yet Labour's leadership has said that, in contrast to Militant, no action will be taken against the Friends of Israel. This is despite the fact that this organisation numbers in its ranks not just a few street activists and half-a-dozen prospective Parliamentary candidates but dozens of Labour M.P.'s from all parts of the Party, not just "right-wingers" like ex-P.M. Harold Wilson but "left-wingers" like "Socialist millionaire" Ian Mikardo.

No, Labour's Friends of Israel can stay in the Party although their declared aims are not those of merely asking Labour to actually implement some of the windy "Socialist" rhetoric its Conferences spout out from time to time but to enlist the support of Britain's Opposition — and erstwhile and potential Government — for a foreign Power.

SMEAR CAMPAIGN

The Labour Friends of Israel have also been spared the vicious and hysterical media smear campaign, almost comparable to that mounted over the years against the NF, which Militant has suffered. If the Tendency — whose glimmerings of political awareness we reported in *NT* no. 11 — wishes to find the key to all this, and in particular to why they have suddenly been singled out for an orchestrated nationwide witch-hunt in the TV and newspapers, then they would do well to consider the words spoken by one Martin Savitt to the Commons Home Affairs Sub-Committee on Race Relations and Immigration in February.

For then the sinister Savitt, Vice President and former "Defence Director" of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews told the select little group of M.P.'s that organised Jewry in Britain now considered the "fascist Left more dangerous than the fascist Right". So now they are getting what we got. Perhaps they will learn from it, as we did...

NATIONAL FRONT NEWS

Send 30p for a sample copy of *NF News* to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

BEER MONEY

The giant Allied Breweries cartel, owners of Ind Coope, Ansells, Tetleys, Taylor Walker, Skol, Babychem and Britvic, pumped £70,000 last year into Tory Party funds.

Two other plutocratic cartels among the 'Big Six' brewers, whose role in destroying the traditional British pint was exposed in *NT* issue 10, Whitbreads (owners of Worthington E and Thresher off-licences) and Scottish and Newcastle (owners of Tartan, Younger and MacEwans) also gave thousands of pounds to the Tories in 1982. In total, last year the Conservatives received £93,045 from the brewers, mainly from these three big plutocratic empires.

UNEMPLOYMENT: Multi-Nationals strike again

THE EMPLOYEES of Linotype-Paul learnt the hard way about how economic internationalism works last February when it was announced that their firm was to be shut down, making 500 people redundant in Cheltenham.

Linotype-Paul haven't closed down because they are unsuccessful: their profit forecast for 1983 was £1.7 m, and they have orders in their books for £13 m worth of their advanced typesetting products, which have been designed, developed and manufactured in Britain.

The firm is owned by the curiously named American multinational, Mergenthaler. Their spokesman said that they were closing down their Cheltenham factory to concentrate production at their factory in Eschborn in West Germany, because it is easier and cheaper to distribute their products to their major European markets from Eschborn. There they will be close to Frankfurt Airport, which has the highest turnover of air freight in Europe.

CLASSIC EXAMPLE


Linotype-Paul is a classic example of what happens to British Industry under an internationalist economic system. Unlike a family firm or a workers co-operative the ownership and control of this firm was not in the

hands of people who had a personal interest and commitment to its successful continuance. Instead it was in the hands of a multinational, which was quite happy to destroy a British firm and relocate production elsewhere, if it led to greater global profits.

Also, because there are no Exchange Control regulations it's easy to sell off a British subsidiary, and use the proceeds to enlarge and modernise Continental production centres. The total lack of any import controls means that multinationals that do this know they can easily export their goods back to the British market. Naturally multinationals tend to concentrate their production, not in Britain, but in places like Eschborn where there is much easier and cheaper access to the main Continental markets.

If the disaster of Linotype-Paul is not to be repeated time after time then British industry must be owned by Britons alone; Exchange Control regulations must be introduced to ensure that investment capital is invested in Britain and not overseas, and import controls must be introduced to stop our markets being flooded with foreign goods. The National Front will enter the next General Election as the only political party committed to implementing these policies.

"It's ironic really - I've never been top of anything before...."



Small schools are better

SMALL schools are better for children than large ones, and it is therefore a crime to demolish them. This is the view of Professor Edward Edmonds, a Canadian educationist who has made surveys based on school sizes in Canada, Australia and Britain.

Following his research, Professor Edmonds found that pupils in small schools were happier in their work, got on better with their class-mates and were competitive in a spontaneous, healthy fashion.

Against a background of growing concern at the disappearance of village schools, he told the annual conference of the National Association for the Support of Small Schools that large schools had the opposite effect on children.

Professor Edmond's research only goes to reinforce the National Front's belief that

small village schools must be kept open at all costs. These schools are absolutely crucial to the very survival of the rural communities which they serve. If they are closed, many young couples will be forced to move to the conurbations, leaving Britain's villages populated by old people. Thus more and more rural communities, having no new generations of young people to infuse life into the local areas, will effectively die.

So not only are small schools better for the education of young people, they are urgently needed for the survival of many communities. It is clear then that the present mania of Tory and Labour administrations to dump all children in massive schools where they feel left out and alienated must be stopped.

Toe the line and you'll do fine

EVERY MAN has his price, or so the saying goes, and it would appear that the prices paid by the bosses of Big Business to keep top civil servants in line is pretty high.

Take Sir Michael Palliser, for instance. He was head of the Foreign Office until his retirement just over a year ago and he has certainly been rewarded generously for his faithful services to Britain's top companies. Since his retirement he has become a

director of the Shell oil company and has also joined the boards of United Biscuits, Eagle Star Insurance and British American Tobacco.

The British Foreign Office has been described as "a nest of vipers". Vipers, it would appear, command a pretty high price in Margaret Thatcher's Britain.

Feminist for the Bomb

SUPPORTERS of the neo-lesbian "Women's Lib" hysteria are not limited to the CND side of the Cruise Missile debate. The main leading light of the reactionary Tory "Women for Defence" group, apart from perfumed gossip correspondent Lady Olga Maitland, is one Rosemary Brown.

When she isn't supporting the right of the Yanks to fight their atomic wars in our country, "Ms." Brown is a "Commissioner" for the "Equal Opportunities Commission", forcing employers not to "discriminate" against female (or allegedly so) bricklayers, heavyweight boxers etc. and seeking to stamp out all forms of "sexism".

Perhaps the Red and reactionary dykes will now slug it out in true non-sexist style whilst the rest of us get on with the real issue - getting foreign soldiers and missiles off our soil.

Read

CANDOUR

The magazine started by the National Front's first chairman, A. K. Chesterton, is now available from the Nationalist Bookshop. Send 50p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

PALESTINIANS FIGHT BACK

WHILST the United States chaperones talks between their Israeli and Lebanese government clients — and advance detachments of British troops to join the US, French and Italian forces stationed in Beirut — PLO fighters have begun to hit back against the Jewish-Zionist enemy.

For Palestinian units have already regrouped after the battles in the Lebanon and Beirut to wage war within Jewish-occupied Lebanon, the occupied West Bank, the Gaza Strip and inside the Israeli borders themselves.

And daily ambushes are taking a growing toll of Israeli troops.

A *Sunday Times* reporter recently described the effect of these renewed attacks on the manoeuvrability of the occupying Jewish forces:

"journey through Lebanon with the Israelis these days is more tense than at any time since the war. Everyone is issued with flack jackets. There have to be two soldiers in each car, their guns at the ready, sticking through the windows. The cars must go in convoy and cannot move at night."

RESISTANCE

Indeed, the fierceness of the Palestinian resistance to the Jewish invaders has clearly demoralised many Israeli soldiers — medical officials report that for every 100 physical casualties suffered by their forces, there are 23 psychiatric casualties. Now teams of psychologists and sociologists have been drafted in to work alongside Jewish troops in an attempt to maintain battle morale.

Up until now Zionists were confident that the battle of Beirut had destroyed the Palestinian cause. However, their smiles of satisfaction at the destruction of PLO strongholds are now threatened by the rekindled

that their armed struggle would continue and that the "volcano that erupted in Beirut will continue its tremors until it reaches Jerusalem".

Radical British Nationalists should support the Palestinian cause — just as we should support the legitimate nationalist cause of other peoples. Britons and Palestinians should unite and fight our common enemy: Zionism.



A downed Israeli pilot's helmet held by PLO fighter

Blacks butcher Tory

A WOMAN Tory Party activist fell prey to the traitorous and anti-White policies of her party when she was brutally murdered by a gang of Black "dissidents" in Rhodesia on April 4th. Sandra Bennett, 37, was killed when she visited the southern Matabeleland ranch of Paul Savage, a personal friend of White Rhodesian traitor Ian Smith and a Senator for his sell-out "Republican Front" party in the so-called "Senate" of so-called "Zimbabwe". Savage and his daughter were also shot by the rampaging Blacks as they were grilling steaks at a barbecue. The Blacks were in such a murderous frenzy of bloodlust that they shot one of their own gang in the crossfire. They then looted the house.

Miss Bennett was a prominent worker for the Tories in York. She must have been keen because she once offered to marry Ted Heath when he was Prime Minister and being criticised for being a bachelor. The

enigmatic "sailor" turned her down. She was also a keen supporter of Ian Smith.

Since the Tory Party was directly responsible for destroying civilized rule in White Rhodesia, with the connivance of Smith, and handing the place over to warring tribal gangs of savages, the death of Tory activist Bennett can only be seen as poetic justice. Perhaps the Blacks who killed her will come over here and knock a few more Tory activists off, starting with the Prime Minister!

SOUTH AFRICAN PATRIOT

South Africa's leading racial nationalist magazine is now available from the National Front. Send 40p plus 15p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

A woman's right to choose...

OVER THE LAST decade the Communist Party, and sundry other Marxist and Trotskyite groupings, have devoted a large part of their propaganda output to the subject of "Women's Rights". Their aim has been to persuade as many women as possible that only in a communist society could they achieve their full potential, without facing discrimination or unfair social pressures.

Actions speak louder than words however, and a recent article in the *Economist* looking at Red China — the world's largest Communist country — shows how women are really treated under Communism.

A few years ago the Chinese government decided, for economic reasons, to reduce China's population by 300 million. As result most Chinese women now have to apply to their local Communist Party officials for permission to conceive, and families are forbidden to have more than one child. Whilst communist papers in Britain campaign for a "woman's right to choose abortion" women in China are frequently forced to have their babies aborted — whether they want it or not.

KILLING BABIES

When Chinese girls marry they move in with their in-laws and hand over their earnings to them. Since the government pays no pensions in the rural areas of China, retired workers who have no male offspring face appalling poverty and starvation. As result hundreds of thousands of China's peasants are killing their girl babies at birth, claiming they were stillborn, since — as they are allowed only one child — they are desperate for it to be a boy.

In the Anhui province of China the number of baby girls surviving has fallen from almost 50% of total births (as one would expect) in 1971 to 42% in 1981. The clear implication is that Government policies are forcing China's peasants to kill as many as a quarter of all baby girls — hardly women's "liberation".

Women who have had their one approved child face complete humiliation afterwards. Some have contraceptive coils forcibly inserted into them, others are forced to show their sanitary towels to local party cadres, to prove they are not pregnant.

To many the idea of trendy female Hampstead Reds being forced to display their sanitary towels every time they attend their local Communist Party branch meeting is highly amusing. Chinese women are unlikely to see the joke!

Protect British Industry From Imports!

In the last issue Joe Pearce answered the Marxist case against import controls. In this issue he answers the capitalist case.

ANSWERING THE CAPITALIST CASE AGAINST IMPORT CONTROLS

THE CAPITALIST case against protectionism was recently outlined in an article in the *Daily Telegraph* entitled "No Salvation In Import Controls". The author of the article was Peter Lilley, the prospective Conservative candidate for St Albans and a consultant director of the Conservative Research Department. In this article Mr Lilley explained the orthodox *laissez-faire* approach towards international trade, an approach which is fully endorsed by official Conservative Party policy and fully supported by International Big Business.

However, on closer scrutiny, Lilley's arguments in favour of lifting all tariff barriers can be demolished with relative ease.

For instance, he starts his article by stating that a policy of selective import controls is "objectionable on three major grounds".

"First," he states, "the hardest-hit industries which would naturally be the beneficiaries of selective protection would, by definition, be the most costly and least worth saving". This is absolute rubbish, since the "hardest-hit" industries are not, "by definition", anything of the sort. Many of these industries could prosper and the firms concerned could become highly competitive within the national economy if they enjoyed protection from unfair foreign competition.

British industries can't compete with the cheap sweated labour employed in many Third World and Eastern bloc countries. However, to state that this "by definition" makes our industries too costly and not worth saving is tantamount to economic suicide. There are only two alternatives to the destruction of our industries by cheaper foreign labour costs. Either British workers must be paid the equivalent of "two bowls of rice a day" in order to become "competitive" with the coolie labour in the Far East, or else we must protect British industries by introducing import controls. The only third course, and the course which appears to be favoured by Mr Lilley, is the wholesale destruction of large sections of British industry.

LESS COMPETITIVE

The second capitalist argument against the raising of tariff barriers is that "selective protection on semi-finished and capital goods inevitably benefits the British manufacturer of such goods only at the expense of other British industries buying those goods. For example a tariff on imported steel will enable BSC to raise its steel prices. But as a result the car industry, a major steel user, will be made less competitive and demand tariffs of its own."

This statement by Mr Lilley is indeed true, excepting only that Mr Lilley thinks

that this would be a bad thing when in fact it would be a good thing! The introduction of tariff on imported steel, or better still the exclusion of all steel imports, would save the steel industry from imminent destruction. The British car industry would then buy British steel instead of foreign steel. If that made British cars less competitive than foreign cars, the car industry would also have to be protected by tariff barriers. If this course of action was taken the British steel industry and the British car industry would prosper through mutual co-operation.

Mr Lilley's third argument against import controls is that "many of the most hard-hit industries are already receiving large subsidies. BSC is costing the taxpayer over £1 million a day".

This argument is absurd in the extreme; BSC needs to be subsidised because cheap steel imports are making it uncompetitive. If anything, this last statement is more of an argument for import controls than against them!



But Mr Lilley's case against import controls doesn't end there. He goes on to use the Common Market as another weapon in the Free Trade armoury: "So long as we remain members of the EEC it is nearly impossible for us unilaterally to raise our tariffs against non-members' goods and quite impossible to act against fellow members' goods."

This indeed is a very strong and very true argument and one which Peter Lilley might consider to be the ace in his hand. The introduction of widespread import controls will indeed be impossible while Britain is a member of the EEC, but if ever there were good arguments for pulling out of the Common Market then that surely is one of them!

OLD ARGUMENTS

Mr Lilley then states the old and often-used arguments against protectionism. He points out, for instance, that "in all probability as many jobs would be destroyed in the export industries as would be replaced

in the import-replacing industries."

However, this argument, like so many other arguments used by this Tory champion of Free Trade, is obviously very shallow. The fact remains that Britain imports far more than she exports and, as such, she stands to gain much more from jobs created in the import replacing industries than she will lose from the export industries. This is particularly true when it is realised that many of the industries which currently specialise in exports will not need to do so once a secure home market is available for them to sell their products in.

In conclusion, Mr Lilley states that "Britain now exports nearly half of its manufactured output — a higher proportion than nearly any other major country. It would be absurd for us to provoke others to exclude us from their markets."

EASILY DEMOLISHED

This argument can easily be demolished by countering that British manufacturers would gain far more from the increased size of the protected home market than they could ever lose from any loss in foreign markets caused by "retaliation". However, another important factor arises out of this and some of Peter Lilley's earlier statements in favour of international free trade.

He envisages a British economy which is flooded with foreign imports, many of which may undermine Britain's own domestic industries. In this world of unlimited free trade the only way that Britain can pay for these imports is to frantically export as many of her own manufactured goods as possible. This will lead to a fully internationalised world economy of blind buying and selling; a world economy which can only benefit the barons of international capitalism at the expense of national sovereignty.

This may indeed be what Peter Lilley and the rest of the Conservative Party desire. They have always been the most devout and staunch defenders of international Big Business. But for those people who wish to see Britain an independent nation, capable of producing the goods she needs for her own survival, this is totally unacceptable.


Seen in this light, import controls are not only economically desirable, they are absolutely crucial to the maintenance of national sovereignty!

NATIONALIST BOOKS

The NF's mail order book service. A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists and Racialists. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.



British Ulsterman



Voice of of the National Front in Northern Ireland



A National Front march through London protesting against the IRA.

***Ulster's Fight is
Britain's Fight!***

HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!

WHAT WE'RE FIGHTING FOR

WE BRITISH NATIONALISTS are struggling against the forces of internationalism not only for the wellbeing, but for the very freedom and survival of the United Kingdom.

The immediate threat to our existence comes not from without but is posed by the 'ruling Establishment' itself — of which the Tories and Unionists, SDLP, Labour Party and the SDP/Liberal Alliance are all part and parcel.

We have an internationalist anti-British Establishment because political and economic power is concentrated in the hands of a cosmopolitan clique with sectional, international and/or alien interests and loyalties.

At the centre of the Establishment lie those who own and control the banking system with its interlocking directorships in Capitalist concerns.

While the bankers and Capitalists gain more wealth and power, ordinary Britons are forced to suffer continual economic crisis with attendant inflation and unemployment.

Establishment greed has also led to the massive importation — into the UK — of Immigrants in order to undercut the wage bargaining position of British workers, and to break down national barriers which stand in the way of Capitalism.

The vast majority of ordinary British people — dispossessed of everything but their labour which they have to sell to live — have been thrown into direct competition with Immigrants who are willing to work for lower wages, and whose presence has swelled the labour pool depressing overall wage levels.

Now — and especially on the mainland — racial intermixing threatens the preservation of Britain's distinct national character, with its unique talents and abilities.

INTERNATIONALIST INTERESTS

The same internationalist interests are behind the deliberate alienation of our British kinfolk overseas, and our submersion in the European Common Market. They would also like to split up the UK and have a 'united' Ireland.

The Communist world, meanwhile, is

interlocked with and is dependant upon Capitalism.

Indeed, far from posing an alternative, the Communist system is converging towards the same end of a world monopoly of economic and political power.

Communists in the UK act as the catspaws of Capitalism by supporting the importation of Immigrant labour, by supporting Irish Republicanism and by attempting to drive the National Front — their only true opponents — from the streets.

BRITISH IDENTITY

We want to preserve our British identity, assert our independence, maintain the Union and lay stable foundations for a worth-while future. But this can only be done by building a revolutionary British Nationalist movement dedicated to the overthrow of the ruling Establishment and the utter destruction of its parasitical System.

Only by booting out the self-seeking and corrupt time servers of the Establishment



environment. The long-term health and welfare of our people will replace the short-term greed that has up till now caused the pollution of so much of the UK.

The quality of life will also be improved by enlightened town planning. House building and renovation will be encouraged so as to maintain local community spirit, instead of the present practice whereby people are needlessly shoved into massive housing estates and soulless tower blocks, as in Belfast's Shankill Road.

In a British Nationalist society with a stable economic base people will no longer be consumed in the deadening materialism of a desperate rat race to preserve their share of a shrinking cake.

We will build a strong, beautiful and free Nation in which Britons will be able to seek the higher goals of spiritual and cultural fulfillment, and from which we will be able to press forward to the new frontiers of science and space.

To the Loyalist people of Ulster we offer our vision of National Freedom and Social Justice. Join us in the National Front in our fight for a free and prosperous Ulster in a proud and independent Britain!



parties and by replacing them with men and women who are representative of the British people, will we be able to remove the alien populations, smash the IRA and get Britons back to work again.

But it is not enough simply to treat the symptoms of national and racial suicide, without eradicating the disease, and preventing its recurrence.

In the society which we will build, the creation of credit will come under State control, and those who work by hand and brain shall have a decisive say in the direction of commerce, so that never again can a monopoly of power fall into the hands of a tiny minority with divergent interests from those of the Nation as a whole.

Once freed from the stifling burden of our existing financial system, our wealth and economic power will rapidly expand.

HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT

This growth of our economic potential will not be directed into the creation of more concrete jungles, however, for we want the UK to have a more natural and healthy



FIGHT BACK AGAINST BRITAIN'S ENEMIES!

HELP to distribute *British Ulsterman* and fight back against the enemies of Britain!

If you send just £4.50 we will send you 50 copies of *British Ulsterman*, postage paid by us! Make all cheques/postal orders payable to 'Nationalism Today' and send to N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

ORDER FORM

Please send me fifty copies of *British Ulsterman* at the special discount rate. I enclose £4.50 in payment.

NAME

ADDRESS

Post to: Nationalism Today, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.



Smash IRA scum!

THE NATIONAL FRONT AND THE STRUGGLE AGAINST THE I.R.A. ON THE MAINLAND

No surrender!




This van-load of IRA supporters came unstuck when they ran across a group of National Front supporters near Birmingham. They were on their way to a Sinn Fein march when their van was surrounded and attacked by members and supporters of the N.F. Needless to say, this particular group of Republicans never made it to the march!



The photograph above shows a member of the National Front being arrested during a demonstration outside an IRA meeting in North London earlier this year. He was arrested when scuffles broke out between IRA sympathisers and National Front counter-demonstrators.

This demo in North London was only one of the many which are regularly held by the NF against the murderers of the IRA. In May of this year dozens of arrests were made when members of the NF attacked a Sinn Fein march in Birmingham.



National Front ex-serviceman at the NF's annual Remembrance Day parade to the Cenotaph in London. Every year the National Front holds a service at the Cenotaph to remember Ulster's dead.

LOYALISTS: JOIN OUR STRUGGLE!

HANG ALL I.R.A. MURDERERS—ULSTER IS FOREVER BRITISH!



The National Front is wholeheartedly committed to the Loyalist struggle in Northern Ireland. We demand the final and total defeat of the IRA and its Marxist off-shoot the I.N.L.A. We demand that the Security Forces in Northern Ireland be given all the necessary powers to annihilate the forces of Republicanism. We further demand that those sections of Ulster's population whose sympathies lie with the Irish Republic should be strongly encouraged to go and live there!

Finally we give our support to all hard-line Loyalist groups who are fighting the enemies of Ulster. We seek an amnesty for all Loyalist political prisoners.

WORK NOT DOLE—GET BRITAIN BACK TO WORK!




The National Front's economic policies will create millions of new jobs all over the United Kingdom.

We are the only party in Britain which demands the total exclusion of all foreign manufactured goods which could be produced in British factories. This would create millions of new jobs in those industries which have been destroyed by the continuous flood of foreign imports which are being dumped onto the home market.

Even more jobs would be created by the National Front's policy of giving interest free loans to small businesses. We are also committed to the establishment of workers' co-operatives and will give financial assistance to all workers who wish to set up co-ops.

Finally, we will ensure that there is enough money available for British industry by banning all exports of investment capital.

SUPPORT ULSTER'S FARMERS—FREE BRITAIN FROM FOREIGN CONTROL!



EVERY Ulster farmer, housewife and small businessman knows that the Common Market has been a costly disaster.

The N.F. believes that Parliament must have sovereign power, not be subject to decisions made by foreigners.

We are also opposed to the presence of U.S. forces in Britain. These could be the targets in a quarrel between the super-powers which has nothing to do with British interests.

The N.F. will therefore withdraw Britain from the EEC, NATO and other Internationalist bodies. We will adopt a policy of armed neutrality, with nuclear and conventional forces strong enough to deter any aggressor.

SMASH THE I.R.A.—JOIN THE N.F.!

To: National Front, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

☐ I wish to become a Full Member of the National Front and enclose £6 for membership.

☐ Please send me further details of the National Front. I enclose 50p for an information pack.

Tick appropriate box.

Name

Address

**NATIONALISM
TODAY** *The Radical Voice of
British Nationalism*



This supplement was produced as part of *Nationalism Today*, a 20-page magazine which supports the National Front. Subscriptions are available for 12 issues, priced £7.00. Cheques/Postal Orders made payable to "Nationalism Today". Send to: NT, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Books reviewed from "Nationalist Books" at same address.

Trouble shooting

AN INVITATION TO TERRORISM

THERE are many fine theories about what democracy could be and should be, but as a system of government for a nation (as distinct from a means of regulating small voluntary communities and associations) it has never been anything other than a confidence trick perpetrated by the elite minority who have power ("The Establishment") upon the powerless media-manipulated majority.

In Britain, as in all the other "Great Democracies" of the "Free West", the vast majority of ordinary citizens believe that democracy actually exists. They genuinely believe that in possessing a vote they have the power to influence the direction of their nation. They believe this not because they can point to any evidence to support their belief (and despite abundant evidence to disprove it) but because they find the notion that they have such power flattering and the suggestion that they are being made fools of disconcerting.

Of course in our "democracy" the voters are given a choice, but the choice they are offered is not a choice of all the available relevant options, but a small number of options provided and regulated by the Establishment itself.

No doubt there will be some who will dispute this and say that there is a genuine and fundamental difference between the ideologies of the Labour Party and the Conservative Party. So there may be. But ideologies and manifestos are what politicians say. When it comes to judging politicians, parties, governments and systems, what politicians say is usually of the least importance. It is what they do (and do not do) in power that should provide our sole criteria for judging them.

It is when the Conservative and Labour parties are examined on this basis that one is able to see that the differences between them are much more a matter of style than direction and that the proposition that they constitute genuine alternative choices is a confidence trick. The factor of style rather than content becomes even more apparent when one examines the "alternative" offered by the Liberal-SDP Alliance.

MEDIA GOON SQUAD

There has recently been an attempt by "extreme" Left Wingers (Benn, Livingstone, Knight and comrades) to "infiltrate" the Labour Party with a sufficient number of people who believe that a socialist party should do what the socialist ideology says a socialist party should do, to enable them to "hijack" the party and set it on a course which would certainly make it a genuine alternative to the Conservative Party and, for that matter, to the Labour Party as we have known it for decades.

This attempt by the Left to provide a real alternative on the Parliamentary

political scene has been checked, if not stopped dead in its tracks, by the media. The media is owned and controlled by the power elite which constitutes the Establishment and is used by them to batter into the ground all those who threaten the 'safe' Establishment-approved and utterly bogus 'alternative' parties.

The battering which Labour's Left has been taking from the media is not a new phenomenon. Ten and more years ago the Monday Club was perceived by the Establishment to be a threat to the Conservative Party in much the same way that the Militant Tendency and other Marxist factions are seen to be a threat to the Labour Party today. As a result, the Monday Club was subjected to a media campaign of vilification (as well as infiltration and subversion).

REPRESSIVE TREATMENT

The experience of the Monday Club in the early 1980's (to say nothing of the increasingly repressive treatment to which the National Front has been subjected by the media, the Police, the Courts and local government bodies) should indicate that the Establishment is not willing to allow the British people to be offered at elections genuine alternative options.

Those who doubt that this is so should have their doubt dispelled by the recent report of the House of Commons Home Affairs Committee on electoral law. The report proposes that the cash deposits paid by persons seeking nomination in Parliamentary elections should be raised from £150 to £1,000.

Just as a matter of record, this campaign to raise the electoral deposits was instigated by Jewish members of the House of Commons in 1977 in response to the National Front's announcement that it intended to nominate 300 candidates in the following (1979) General Election and has been relentlessly maintained by Greville Janner M.P., President of the Board of Deputies of British Jews ever since.

It is argued that it is about time the electoral deposit was raised, simply in order to keep up with inflation. But this cannot be (and is not) the true reason for the proposed increase for when the £150 deposit was established at the start of this century £150 was the equivalent of £5,000 in terms of today's spending power.

When the £150 sum was first set it was a measure clearly calculated to keep the ability to stand as a candidate the preserve of the very rich. It was the product of the same kind of thinking which restricted the right to vote in local Council elections to property-owning rate-payers and which prevented women (the chattels of men) from voting altogether.

The motives which prompted the imposition of a cash qualification was clearly wrong and undemocratic in the first case.

How, therefore, can it be right to base a modern measure upon such a reactionary and outdated concept?

"CRANK" CANDIDATES

It is also argued that a higher cash deposit will prevent "crank candidates" from standing. But anybody who has studied "crank" candidates (as distinct from genuine minority party candidates) will know that such people have a substantial amount of money to spend.

Tom Keen, an eccentric millionaire, spent thousands of pounds nominating himself and a colleague called Smith in numerous constituencies in the two 1974 General Elections. Screaming Lord Sutch, the Looney Party candidate, has had his antics in recent by-elections subsidised by Jewish backers and heavily publicised by the media for the express purpose of discrediting minority party candidates during the period when the Select Committee was deliberating the question of election deposits.

So the proposed new measure obviously cannot prevent "crank" candidates "abusing" the democratic process. All it will do is inhibit poor "crank" candidates – and, of course, candidates from minority, and thus poor, political parties.

If the purpose of the proposed measure is to weed out "crank" candidates (as the media would have us believe is its purpose) then the obvious thing to propose is a significant increase in the number of signatures required on a Nomination paper. "Crank" candidates usually do not live in, or have a body of established support in, the constituencies they stand for. Genuine minority party candidates generally nominate candidates in areas where they have a local branch established.

Under the scheme now being proposed, a wealthy nut case from the Hebrides could stand as a candidate in a London constituency where there existed branches of the National Front, the Ecology Party and the Communist Party, but if they were not able to raise £1,000 they could not stand.

It must be clear that the true purpose of the proposed measure is to deny the electorate genuine alternative options to the Establishment's three parties; in particular, to deny to the electorate the alternative offered by the National Front. Numerous M.P.'s have admitted as much.

Is a three-party state three times more democratic than a one-party state? Do 16 names on a ballot paper constitute a threat to democracy? Are those able to afford £1,000 for an election deposit more likely to be people of integrity and dedication than those who cannot raise such a sum?

It seems to me that a society which calls itself "democratic" but which takes measures to prevent its political minorities from engaging in the democratic process is a society which is inviting minority opinion to be expressed through the only mode of expression left available to it: terrorism.

SOUTH AFRICA: Bring back real Apartheid!

THE CURRENT sordid row over whether the film *Gandhi* shall be shown to all-White or racially mixed audiences in South Africa, and if so whether its author, limousine-liberal drone Richard Attenborough, will grace the proceedings with his presence, epitomises the total corruption of the original vision of *apartheid* by the pathetic heirs of the original visionaries now ruling South Africa.

For when the National Party first won power, in 1948, on a pledge to institute a policy of "separate development" — *apartheid* in Afrikaans — it did not mean keeping the kaffirs outside whilst an all-White audience watched multiracialist propaganda. Nor did it mean "Whites-only" public toilets, park benches and all the other petty paraphernalia of trivial harassment the liberal media love to portray as they depict White South Africans as small minded bigots.

COMPLETELY SEPARATE

The vision of the NP's great thinkers, Dr. Malan, Advocate Strijdom, and Dr. Verwoerd, was far grander and far more deeply rooted in a profound understanding of human nature. They wished to build an abiding White nation on the soil of Southern Africa, one that would last not "until the Blacks are ready for majority rule" but forever. To achieve that, they knew they must aim ultimately not at a White minority living on the backs of teeming hordes of Black serfs but at a White people tilling its own land, working its own mines and industries, and completely separate from the Black population. That was their ultimate aim.

To achieve that aim required two stages. In the first stage, the continued survival of the White South Africans as a people must be assured whilst they disentangled themselves from dependence upon the Blacks in their midst. As the 1948 National Party Manifesto put it, the first priority was "the preservation of the White population of South Africa as a pure White Race by means of the prohibition of every form of race-mixing between White and non-White".

To this end, as long as Blacks lived or worked in White areas and vice versa, measures had to be taken to minimise all social — and hence sexual — contact between the races. Hence the Immorality Act prohibiting interracial sex, and the sundry "pass", "zoning" and other laws designed to keep the races as far as possible apart whilst they still live in the same area. But all of this, which is regarded generally as the whole of the *apartheid* policy is in fact only a transitional first stage in the grand design of the founders of modern South Africa.

FREEDOM

The second, and final, stage envisaged not only the legal but the physical separation of the races. Ultimately South Africa would have been divided into areas inhabited, and worked in, solely and entirely by Whites, and similar exclusively inhabited by

the various Black tribes as well as areas for the hybrid but culturally cohesive "Cape Coloured" people and the Asians. From this might evolve an English-speaking White nation centred on the Cape and an Afrikaner nation on the highveld, each comprised entirely of White workers and farmers without a single Black "houseboy" or miner, together with areas in which the Blacks could live in freedom as they always used to live, in harmony with the land and with their cultures, religions, and tribal ways of government.

Since Black and White would hardly ever meet, and would be divided by yawning gulfs of language and culture when they did, the structure of "petty *apartheid*" would effectively become obsolete. The Whites would no longer rule over or exploit the Blacks, who would be free to develop their own racial and cultural identity, rather than being turned into second-class imitation Whites, wearing the White man's clothes, worshipping the White man's god and generally aping the White man's civilisation, in which they must necessarily be inferior, just as Whites would make second-class Negroes.

But, whilst the first stage of the Grand Design, as its authors themselves styled it, was effectively implemented within a decade, the second stage, the entire purpose of the whole policy, has been totally abandoned by the present rulers of South Africa. The few token "homelands" like the Transkei and Bophutatswana are imitation White countries like the rest of Black Africa in so far as they are independent at all, and are totally exploited by White — or Jewish — plutocrats (Bophutatswana is little more than a giant interracial brothel). Most Blacks do not live in the "homelands" anyway, because they are exploited by White South African industry as cheap labour in dismal conditions.

LOST VISION

The result is that the laws passed as the first stage of *apartheid* stand bereft of purpose. The Government evidently has lost the original vision that fired its Party's founders as was evident when the Prime Minister, P.W. Botha, told the BBC on 29th May 1979 that in his view "Morally and religiously there is nothing against a racially mixed marriage", and when NP minister Pik Botha said "Discrimination based on the colour of a person's skin is indefensible. We (the S.A. Government) shall do everything in our power to move away from discrimination on grounds of race or colour".

What went wrong? Why did a brave and potentially glorious dream die? The reason is that the founders of *apartheid* failed to perceive the nature of the forces that would be ranged against their vision. They were aware of the Communist threat, and in 1950 moved to eradicate ruthlessly the S.A. Communist Party. But they failed to see that international Capitalism was as great a threat as international Communism.

For the interests of Capitalism, the road to quicker and bigger profits, did not lie in



South Africa's Blacks: Exploited by multi-racialist Capitalism.



South African troops in "hot pursuit". The only future for Whites in South Africa lies with a return to the original vision of apartheid.

separating the Blacks and allowing them to live their own lives in peace. Instead they lay in dragging the Negroes out of their ancestral villages, stripping them of their traditional tribal way of life and herding them into vast soulless "townships" like Soweto near Johannesburg. There they could provide the plutocrats with an endless pool of cheap and hence profitable sweated labour.

The Capitalists also encouraged first missionary and then State schemes to "educate" the Blacks so they could read the operating instructions on their machines and do clerical work. The end result, of course, is to create a rootless and disaffected generation of young Blacks who have lost their own identity without gaining the White identity held up to them as a model, and hence easy meat for the purveyors of Marxism and Black revolution.

UNDERMINED

Thus from the beginning it was Capitalists like Harry Oppenheimer who set out to undermine the Grand Design of *apartheid*. In this they were aided by the Jews, who make up 15% of the "White" South African population and who have never felt safe in a racially and culturally based society unless it is their own. It was Oppenheimer and Co. who founded and financed the anti-

apartheid Progressive Federal Party, led by Jews like Helen Suzman, and Capitalists who urged NP leaders to delay the final separation of the races, and in particular the removal of the Blacks from the mines and factories, "because of the economic dislocation which would be caused". Now they openly say that "South Africa's economy needs more educated Blacks and an end to racial discrimination". NP leaders who would not be thus corrupted could be, as Dr. Verwoerd was, murdered or ousted from office.

The lesson to be learned, if White South Africa is to be saved, is that those who wish to save it, like the HNP, must not primarily seek to set the clock back to the "good old days" when Blacks were totally kept off White park benches and out of White restaurants. They must seek instead to set the clock forward, to restore and realize the great vision of Malan, Strijdom and Verwoerd, the vision of the separate development of the races and peoples of Southern Africa in their own ways on their own soil.

And they must deal ruthlessly with all the enemies of that vision, with Capitalists as well as Communists, with Jewish Zionists as well as Black Marxists, for the *Grand Design of apartheid* is as valid now as it ever was. And it is the only alternative to revolution, tyranny and ruin for both White and Black in South Africa.

ALBERT MARINER MURDERED

National Front member Albert Mariner sustained a blow on the head from a missile hurled by rioters trying to stop the NF's election meeting in Tottenham on 3rd May. The next day he died as a result of the assault.

Albert was walking to the High Cross Lower School, Tottenham Hale, with other National Front members when the fatal attack happened. The NF members were attacked by a mob of mainly Black youths who hurled bricks, rocks and other lethal missiles. Albert Mariner was struck on the head by one of these missiles.

He was taken to hospital by ambulance and died the next day.

Albert Mariner was a model NF activist. Although he was in his mid-sixties, he had seldom missed an NF activity and was to be seen selling papers at Brick Lane Market every Sunday without fail.

Although Albert will be missed by all members of the NF who had the pleasure to know him, his sacrifice will remain in our memories and will serve as an inspiration for all those who are fighting for Race and Nation.

We all owe it to Albert that the Black and Red scum who killed him will never triumph over the forces of British Nationalism.

A lesson in police oppression

by **PHIL ANDREWS**
Hounslow NF

MANY Branch Organisers will at some stage have browsed through their Register of Electors, erasing as they go the names of Asians and other obvious non-Whites upon whom election addresses and canvassing time need not be wasted. In some parts of Hounslow it is often possible to erase not just names, but whole streets, where the NF door-to-door canvassers would otherwise have been greeted from one end to the other with nothing but bemused Oriental faces.

Whole sections of West Hounslow have become for the NF not so much a question of which doors not to leaflet, but of which to leaflet. For those uncultured Whites not given to purchasing their late-night snacks from any of the wide range of Tandoori restaurants available, there is still the American burger joint or the good old-fashioned fish-and-chip shop – both of which are run by Asians.

WHITE OASIS

In the middle of this land of Eastern promise lies the Beavers estate – a clean, respectable council estate which stands like a White oasis in a vast desert of colour. To be sure, one still comes across the occasional Bupinder or Dogjit when scrutinising the Register, but the estate on the whole is noticeably White. Even some of the shopkeepers are British!

So when Asian community leaders in Hounslow go to the police with their tales of "racial harassment" or broken windows, it is perhaps not surprising that the police, anxious to avert the possibility of Southall-style Indian riots in Hounslow, should come to the Beavers estate in their hunt for sacrificial lambs to show the Asians that they really are on their side. The "Beaver" pub, the estate's one and only watering hole, became the target of many a raid. Police vans arriving at the pub empty quite often returned to base filled to capacity with bewildered residents, usually young, always White, who had done nothing worse than have a couple of drinks in their quiet, friendly local.

PETROL BOMB

By November 1982 events had quite clearly got out of hand. In one weekend alone, 36 arrests had been made following yet another raid, and a police car had been petrol-bombed by Whites in retaliation. The resulting publicity brought the whole disgraceful episode to light throughout the borough, and Hounslow NF announced a march in support of the long-suffering residents.

The last time Hounslow NF had planned to hold a march in the borough, the Home Secretary had stepped in at the eleventh hour with a ban. Therefore, in accordance with the best NF traditions, the march was switched at the last minute to Twickenham, in the borough of Richmond. This time the circumstances were such that it was essential for the rally to take place on this particular estate, regardless of any march ban. To switch the venue to Twickenham or anywhere else would have been to defeat the whole object of the exercise.

TOTAL CO-OPERATION

So total co-operation with the police was the name of the game. This time they were given fourteen days' notice of our plan to march. Our proposed route was detailed to local police chiefs and was even allowed to be altered on one occasion. We had deliberately sought to avoid areas of high immigrant population – a very difficult task indeed in Hounslow – and much thought was given as to how to minimise traffic problems. I took a day off work to travel to Scotland Yard, at my own expense, to sit down with senior police officers and discuss the implications of the proposed activity.

Yet, despite all this, despite no shortage of fair play and co-operation on the part of the National Front, the march was banned the night before it was due to take place. In fact less notice had been given to us than on the previous occasion, despite them having had fourteen days in which to make a decision. Furthermore, the ban was extended to cover not only Hounslow but this time also the borough of Richmond. If ever an act of political malice was carried out by the faceless lackeys of Scotland Yard then this was it.

Who would have believed a few years ago that a march could be banned in middle-class immigrant-free Richmond-upon-Thames, where the worst an old lady has to fear in the night is a tanana skin or a cracked pavement, and where Labour Party candidates regularly expect to lose election deposits? We had proved in April 1982 that a peaceful march could be held in Twickenham, which has few immigrants but more than anywhere else in Richmond. Yet banned it was.

POLICE INTIMIDATION

The rally was held on the Beavers estate, as it was always intended to be, and about a hundred people attended, despite the fact that the police had spent the previous fortnight warning local youngsters that they would "be in for a hard time" if they were seen at the rally. Should anyone wonder, this is the reward the NF can expect if it co-operates with the police.

The lesson we must learn from Hounslow's experience on the Beavers estate rings loud and clear. To co-operate with our Establishment enemies merely makes it easier for them to suppress our activities. We must always be prepared for bans and for heavy-handed policing. We must always ensure that our activists are sufficiently well organised to be able to spring "surprises" on the Establishment. What a surprise it would have been if the Beavers march had ended up in Southall – outside the area covered by the ban!

Let us make our message clear to the forces which oppress us – give us back our rights, don't push us too far, or it will be you, not us, who will "be in for a hard time"!

READERS' LETTERS



All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawns Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

BACK TO THE LAND

Dear Sir,

The aim of returning the people to the land will more than compensate for redundancies caused by industrial technology. It is far better that Britons work the land than perform mind-numbing and soul-less work in factories. Labour intensive farming along the lines of free yeomanry will revitalise rural Britain. Of course the huge surpluses created by drenching the soil with chemicals would be a thing of the past – agriculture would be geared to need, not profit.

Our people would once again regard the land as their birthright and not as a commodity for speculators to deal in. A large rural population would bring a revival of small trades and old skills.

The current trend towards social disintegration, whereby people shut themselves away in their dreary boxes plugged into videos, etc, could be reversed to create an outgoing population that takes a social interest in life.

The foregoing may strike some as the pursuit of some William Morris type of Utopia. But the point is that we do now have the technology to create the sort of nation we want. The machines are for us to exploit – to create wealth to improve the life-styles of all our people, not just a few rich capitalists. There is no natural law which condemns us to live in sordid and ugly conditions – save Man's greed.

Finally, thought should be given to the establishment of a rural Nationalist community in the short-term. Thus we could practise what we preach – one corner of Britain at least would be ours.

Yours,

J. Taylor,
Chester.

SOUTH AFRICA

Dear Editor,

After reading Derek Holland's somewhat muddled article on the Broederbond in issue 14 of *NT*, I decided I must write to you.

Since the Afrikaaner outlook and philosophy was a more healthy and realistic one, I don't see how Derek Holland can object to English speaking South Africans being 'socialised' to accept the Afrikaaner view. Also it is no good attacking Dr Treurnicht.

for some 'anti-English' remark said 10 years ago when head of the Broederbond.

While the H.N.P. takes a much more friendly view towards English speakers now, in the past you not only had to be an Afrikaans speaker to join the party but a Calvinist as well! When the 'Conservative' Party was set up, at least it was fully bilingual from the outset. It is also worth bearing in mind that 'conservative' in South Africa means something very different to what it does here. For example, S.E.D. Brown's *South African Observer* calls itself 'conservative'!

In South Africa they have something to conserve in *apartheid*. The H.N.P. is the more radical and hardline of the parties and in the long term their view must prevail if South Africa is to survive. But in the short term there is absolutely no hope of defeating Botha unless they co-operate, this is what they are now doing. Regardless of how badly the C.P. behaved towards the H.N.P. to start with this is now in the past.

It no longer makes any sense for us to attack Treurnicht, now that he has entered into an alliance with Jaap Marais, leader of the H.N.P. If the government lose the Soutspanberg by-election (which should be a safe N.P. seat) it could result in another general election being called. This could be the last election for an all White parliament, Botha's plan to bring Coloureds and Asians into the legislature is well under way. He also plans to make himself an American style executive President with increased personal powers. This is why the pact between the H.N.P. and the C.P. is so vital.

Yours sincerely,

M. T. Spong,
London SW.

"NAZI BIGGLES"

Dear Sir,

Can anyone explain to me how the cause of British Nationalism is forwarded by the Nazi Biggles character who edits *Spearhead*, constantly printing pictures of himself and his family?

The past twenty-seven numbers of *Spearhead* (Jan. '81 to March '83) have featured pictures of the Editor nineteen times; a recent number carried a large picture of the Editor's daughter on the cover, plus another large portrait of the same child on another page. Just for good measures, there was a picture of the Editor with his wife on another as well. I am bored to the back teeth with this gargantuan egomania, and will not be buying *Spearhead* anymore. I prefer the youthful quality of *Nationalism Today*,

and believe the brand of Nationalism you favour, is viable and reasonable, and will eventually be seen as such by a large section of the British working people, young and old. By comparison, *Spearhead* is geriatric, and often seems to have half an inclination to pander to the most reactionary elements in our society.

I will take out a sub. for *Nationalism Today* as soon as I can afford to (I am currently unemployed).

Best Wishes,

Vernon Vyvyan,
Newton Abbot,
Devon.

NEW MEMBER

Dear *NT*,

As a new member I have a few points to make on the matter of NF policy.

First on the matter of capital exports. £10,000,000,000 of capital is exported each year to areas where a greater profit can be made. I am glad that NF policy is to ban all exports of capital and to force capitalists to withdraw capital invested abroad and re-invest in Great Britain. This is unadulterated Nationalism.

Secondly, I think we should have a trade embargo with Japan. Imports from Japan into Great Britain currently total £2,000,000,000. These imports are weakening the structure of the manufacturing base in this country and constitute an export of jobs. The money lost to Japan is money lost from the circular flow of money in Great Britain and the effect is greater than a loss of just £2bn because of the multiplier effect described by economists.

The Conservative Government religiously and dogmatically supports GATT. It is up to the NF to break with GATT and impose import controls.

I fully support NF policy of repatriation for immigrants. You only have to look at the success of Nigeria in expelling immigrants to realise that this is a realistic proposition.

But I am pleased to see that the NF is stressing its socio-economic policies. I am sure that this radical line will lead to many more converts to the Nationalist cause in the future.

Yours sincerely,

Stephen Toomer,
Gwent.

Read

HERITAGE & DESTINY

The British Racial-Nationalist cultural journal.

Issue No. 6 now available! Contents include many articles which will be of interest to White Nationalists.

Issues 1-6: 60p each (post included)

Bulk rates on request.

Cheques/Postal Orders to:
Nationalist Books, 50 Pawns Road,
Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF

Rite

DEAD LOSS

GAS BOARD officials cannot have expected too lively a response from a letter they sent addressed to "Mrs. W. Denbow, Deceased" of Torquay, Devon. Nor, since she died eight months earlier, can they have had much hope that the late Mrs. D. would rush to take up their kind offer in the letter to "spend the winter in a tropical paradise for only £99". Presumably she is either in paradise already or looking for an environment a good deal less tropical! A bit of a dead loss all round, really.

**CONGRATULATIONS
MRS. BAKER - I CAN
OFFER YOU A
COUNCIL HOUSE.
AT LAST.....**



MORONIC

A 16-YEAR-OLD American youth was rushed to hospital, critically ill, after being bitten by a snake which he had just stolen from Washington Zoo.

According to a brief report in the *Daily Telegraph*, the youth, rejoicing in the name of Louis Moron, broke into the snake house and thrust two deadly Gaboon vipers into a plastic bag. He was escaping on a bus when he told the driver that he had been bitten.

The report did not reveal Moron's colour, but 80% of the population of Washington is Black!

Up



Brief Encounters

A CRIMINAL LAW barrister in Gray's Inn Chambers thought his head of Chambers, Mr. Benjamin Conlon, was "a princess from a faraway planet" and spent the morning of his arrest for burglary and stealing *Star Trek* souvenirs "hunting dinosaurs" in Battersea Park, Southwark Crown Court heard at the end of February.

When arrested, Christopher Armitage, described by his boss Mr. Conlon as "an eccentric and beautiful person", produced a *Man from UNCLE* identification badge.

Armitage, who had been a practising Court barrister for some time, "fictionalises everyone he comes across in life", according to his boss. Which must have made for some interesting speeches for the defence from this young "brief".

Though he doubtless found it harder to "beam up" hapless clients his unique personal style got "sent down". He was remanded for reports and it is likely his "brief" career is at an end. A pity really; he would have been an ornament to the Bench one day, and a judge who wanders off to hunt dinosaurs in London parks or develops the idea that the hardened villain before him is a Martian princess would probably do less harm than the many who let off murderous Black muggers with a few hours community service and lock patriots up for telling the truth.

And, come to that, would have his feet more firmly planted in reality!

Prophetic?

THE HEBREW characters representing the figures in the next year in the Jewish calendar, 5744, also spell the word *tashmad*. This is Hebrew for "doom" or "destruction".

So worried are Zionists by this ominous coincidence that the Israeli Education Minister wants the letters rearranged to spell *shdemot*, which apparently can mean a field, a vineyard or a bird. As far as the Zionists are concerned, we prefer the original spelling...

Home Cooking

UGANDAN POLICE searching for a missing woman have arrested 11 suspected cannibals. Police found the Black villagers cooking human meat. Two human thighs were taken to the Police station as exhibits.


CHUTZPAH



YOU CRITICISE ME FOR
BREAKING AGREEMENTS



YOU CRITICISE ME FOR
BOMBING MY NEIGHBOURS



YOU CRITICISE ME FOR
DICTATING YOUR POLICIES



YOU CRITICISE ME FOR
BLOWING UP ARAB VILLAGES



SNIFFLE!



ANTI-SEMITIC IS WHAT
YOU ARE!

SMALL IS BEAUTIFUL

AN important part of the National Front's industrial strategy is that, where possible, large and monolithic organisations — be they nationalised concerns or capitalist companies — should be broken down into small private businesses and workers' co-operatives. Now two recent studies — ironically made in America, the home of the giant conglomerate — have shown that such a policy is not merely politically and socially desirable but economically imperative too.

In a world of increasingly rapid technological development an industrial structure that encourages the innovation of new products and processes is a vital economic necessity.

A study by America's National Science Foundation has shown that small firms are much more successfully innovative than larger ones, even though the larger organisations may have well-funded Research and Development departments. This study concluded that small firms produce about twenty-four times as many innovations per research dollar than large firms.

Equally significant were the results of a second study, into the innovative policies of 62 big U.S. firms. It found that those firms that were the most successful were those which had small Research and Development teams of 5 to 25 people who tried out new ideas on small test markets as cheaply as possible. The firms that did the worst typically had huge R and D teams, with as many as 250 people working on a single project. It appears that human ingenuity and inventiveness are qualities that are best harnessed when people work as part of small teams which — as the first study

proved — don't have to be part of a vast conglomerate to be successful.

JAPANESE SUCCESS

A look at the economic fortunes of Japan and the USA shows how important small firms are to industrialised nations. Although Japan's economic success has primarily been due to its nationalistic economic policies, for example the erection of import barriers to create a protected home market, the fact that 58% of their manufacturing workers are in workplaces with fewer than 100 employees has also played a part. In Britain and America the figure is around 18%, so while in Japan more than half the workers are small firms that work purposefully and flexibly less than one fifth of British workers are. It is however not surprising that in America two-thirds of the gross new private-sector jobs that have been created since 1969 are believed to have been in workplaces where there are fewer than 25 employees.

The implications are clear: the most efficient organisations in the modern world are small, tightly-knit businesses which are flexible enough to adapt relatively easily to changing business conditions, and whose innovative capabilities are not bogged down in a bureaucratic morass. The National Front is the only party in Britain genuinely committed to the principle that "Small is Beautiful", and the NF is the only party capable of rescuing British industry from its present moribund state.

Why Britain needs radical change

THE subject of economics is shrouded in an aura of mystery by both government and economists alike. In this way, vested interests are protected, and the public are kept in ignorance of the activities of the people who are moulding their lives.

There now exists a situation in the UK where on one hand, we have millions of our citizens unemployed, whilst on the other we are importing vast amounts of manufactured goods.

As we undoubtedly have the ability and the capacity to produce these goods for ourselves why is this happening?

Much of the problem results from successive governments involving the UK in various multi-national trading agreements such as the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trades (G.A.T.T.) and the Common Market.

These treaties tie us to a system that prevents us from effectively protecting our home market from imports.

The intended and inevitable result of this is that the financial "middle men" of international trade make large profits. So too do the businessmen who own factories in "Third World" countries who, by utilizing the cheap labour available there, are able to undercut the prices of items produced in the developed countries.

The decline of Britain's textile industry is a typical result of this phenomenon.

Despite its new "radical" image and recent play-acting in front of the media, the Labour Party is just as irrelevant today as it was when last in power.

The involvement of many of its M.P.'s in the worlds of banking and big business — e.g.: James Callaghan, Ian Mikardo, John & Sam Silkin — shows that Labour has sold out to the System.

The Tory Party and Liberal/SDP Alliance are also thoroughly internationalist in character.

A direct course of positive action is needed to **RADICALLY ALTER** our economic system so that it works for the benefit of our people.

To this end the National Front — through its Industrial Section — intends to get our policies over to the average British working man and woman.

The National Front says:

- * Smash the present banking system! Nationalise the banks and place the loan system in the hands of the State!
- * End speculation! Close down the Stock Exchange!
- * Withdraw Britain from all harmful trade agreements!
- * Break up the monopolies! Take over all foreign & internationally owned businesses!
- * Embark on a policy of establishing workers' co-operatives!

NF Trades Unionists fight unemployment



LEFT. David Riley, NFTU Organiser.

RIGHT. National Front trade unionists demonstrate outside the Horwich Works in Lancashire.



THE NATIONAL Front Trade Union Association is going from strength to strength. In particular, the NFTU has been very active in the North West of England where a number of meetings and demonstrations have already been held this year.

Under the leadership of David Riley, the NFTU held a trade unionist seminar in Darwen, Lancashire and, more recently, a group of National Front trade unionists held a very successful demonstration outside the British Rail Engineering Works at Horwich in Lancashire.

At the Horwich works the NF members

distributed special leaflets which explained to the workforce the reasons behind the threatened closure of the British Rail Engineering Works in the town.

Almost all the workers who were changing shifts accepted a leaflet and quite a few, after reading it, came back to buy copies of various other NF publications.

The National Front Trade Union Association plans to hold other demonstrations like this one in the future. *Nationalism Today* welcomes this increased activity by the NFTU since it can only help to strengthen the National Front's industrial base.

Wandsworth: Combining excitement with hard work

A series of articles by Ian Anderson focussing on individual branches and groups of the National Front.

WITHIN the National Front there are some units which devote a great deal of time to the more exciting activities of politics. Members of such units are to be found on marches, at demonstrations, they turn up to picket IRA meetings and they heckle Tory and Labour speakers – but when it comes down to the very necessary hard political grind, they simply don't want to know.

There are other units which slog away leafletting each week, addressing their election labels and holding their regular meetings, but whose members shy away from publicity winning activities.

Both the hard slog and the more exciting activities are essential elements in the functioning of the National Front, as they are in any serious political party. However there are not too many N.F. units which have managed to blend these two essential elements to produce a vigorous Branch which can enjoy the livelier activities while not neglecting the day by day requirements.

Wandsworth Branch has managed to very successfully combine these two elements and it is this combination which has now made them into one of the strongest Branches in London. Each week their regular activities include at least one night's leafletting or



Wandsworth Members demonstrate their hatred of the IRA murderers.

paper selling, several members of the committee spend one night each week visiting members to sell literature and to tell them of forthcoming events. Each Thursday members of the Branch gather at a member's house to carry out the less than exciting work of writing out addresses for the general election.

Their Branch Meetings are held on the same night each month without fail, the hall is booked up months in advance, and so now members have fallen into the routine of attending these meetings.

Wandsworth also takes their fund raising very seriously. Eighteen months ago their funds were down to a couple of hundred pounds, but as a result of sustained campaign of fund raising they are already able to afford two first class parliamentary election campaigns and they fully expect to fight all three Wandsworth constituencies by October.

This rapid improvement in their finances over the last year or so has been the product of several aspects of their work. Their regular paper sales have now meant that they are in the top five units for *N.F. News* and *Nationalism Today* sales. Their profit from these sales provides a substantial and regular income. Their persistence in selling outside Chelsea football ground, despite considerable police harassment, is now paying off and they have even produced their own Chelsea N.F. badge.

In addition to this and other sales, income comes from Branch meetings and from the occasional social. These socials are always very well organised and attract members from many other London branches.

All this work requires all members of the committee and many activists to devote a substantial part of their free time to N.F. work, however despite this they still find time to engage in the more exciting activities.

In early December last year, a number of Wandsworth members went up to Islington where an IRA meeting was picketed. At this picket a group of Reds came unstuck when they thought they could push their way



Spain 1982 World Cup, Wandsworth members have a good time AND sell National Front News.

through the NF members picketing the building. Contingents of Wandsworth members were present on both the anti-IRA march in Leeds in January and the St. George's Day march in High Wycombe in April.

Wandsworth Branch also gave great support to the two recent lively and enjoyable by-election campaigns in South London.

Because of this successful combination of hard work and lively activities Wandsworth now has a team of activists who are able to look forward to the coming general election with enthusiasm. The process of addressing is now well advanced and candidates have been selected. When the election is called the Organiser Mike Connolly is confident that Wandsworth Branch will be able to fight a really cracking campaign.

Much of the election work will have already been done, and their election addresses and other literature will already have been paid for by Michael Salt, their treasurer.

The whole of Wandsworth Branch committee and the Branch activists have worked together very hard and they are justifiably proud of what they have achieved.

FREE BOOK OFFER

ANY readers wishing to ensure that they receive *Nationalism Today* every time it comes out, should take advantage of the special new subscription offer.

By filling in the subscription form on the right you will not only ensure that every issue is despatched to you swiftly as soon as it is published, but you will also be sent a free copy of *The Destruction Of Dresden*.

The Destruction Of Dresden is a well-researched and very illuminating book about the allied bombing of Dresden in 1945. The author is David Irving, a highly respected historian who doesn't hesitate to show that the allies were responsible for a barbaric raid which was to leave more people dead than at either Hiroshima or Nagasaki.

Don't miss this special offer – send in your subscription now!

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive *Nationalism Today* promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name

ADDRESS

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: £7.00 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail £7.30 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail £10.70 ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East £11.30 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa £12.20 ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand £14.20 ☐ Half rates for 6 issues. Please add 50p to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers! All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

Revolutionaries of the "Left"

You believe in Workers' Power. So do we. That's why we demand the direct ownership and control of all enterprises currently owned by shareholders and multi-national corporations by co-operatives of their workers. This ensures the direct control by the working class of the means of production, distribution and exchange and the enjoyment by the workers of the fruits of their labour without the bureaucratic centralism and oppression inherent in State control of all industry.

You are opposed to Capitalism. So are we. That's why we demand the nationalisation of the banks, the abolition of the Stock Exchange and the takeover of all Capitalist concerns by their workforces. We also oppose the importation of cheap Coloured labour by international Capitalists to undercut British workers and undermine the gains won by British Trade Unionists. We demand that these people be returned to their own countries instead of being exploited here.


You are appalled by the mass unemployment generated by reactionary Toryism. So are we. That's why we demand import controls to protect our industries from undercutting by multi-national Capitalist sweat shops in the Third World.

You are opposed to Britain's role as a U.S. satellite and to the stationing of American troops, H-bombs and Cruise missiles on British soil. So are we. That's why we demand British withdrawal from NATO and a British foreign and defence policy determined by British interests rather than those of either super-power. To defend that non-aligned role and the revolutionary new society we shall build, we need strong conventional and nuclear defence forces.

You support national liberation and self-determination. So do we. That's why we demand these same rights for the British people, and in particular for the Britons of Ulster, threatened by Irish imperialism and the destruction of their separate, non-Irish identity.

You are opposed to a World dominated by materialistic greed and exploitation. So are we. That's why we want a society living in harmony with the world of nature and motivated by love of beauty, culture, knowledge, craftsmanship and homeland. Nor do we believe history is merely the interaction of contending interest groups motivated entirely by selfish greed. Human beings are capable of being moved by higher ideals of freedom, heroism and love, and we seek to move them.

So we have much in common. Help us build the British revolution. Join us!



by
JOHN FIELD



Patriots of the "Right"

You want to preserve the British way of life. So do we. That's why we oppose Coloured Immigration and want to repatriate the Immigrants who have turned parts of Britain into second Africas and Asias. And why we seek to preserve Britain's heritage from the multi-national American "Coca-Cola culture".

You believe in a property-owning society; you believe the ownership of property encourages responsibility and confers individual freedom. So do we. That's why we want to distribute the ownership of property amongst as many people as possible. We want British people to own the home in which they live, the land they farm or a share in the ownership of the enterprise in which they work.

You believe in the profit motive to reward hard work, enterprise and initiative. So do we. That's why we want to extend that motive to the shopfloor workers in Big Business factories and workplaces through workers' co-operatives and profit-sharing in nationalised industries. That way millions of ordinary workers will benefit directly from the profitability of the firm in which they work, instead of being forced to regard the firm as an enemy from which to extort as much money as possible. By extending the profit motive to all, we will reduce the need to strike and raise productivity by enabling all to share in the prosperity thus generated.

You believe that competition within a private enterprise framework ensures industrial efficiency and that the public gets the chance to choose the best out of a number of rival products. So do we. That's why we seek to maximise competition by breaking up the big monopolistic corporations and chain stores and by encouraging small businesses and craftsmen. This will create a genuinely competitive economy in which individual initiative can flourish, rather than an economy dominated by a few huge corporations who can drive the small man out of business and stifle individual initiative.

You want to live in a strong, free and independent Britain. So do we. That's why we want to build up Britain's conventional and nuclear defences whilst withdrawing Britain from foreign alliances which limit our national freedom and threaten to destroy our nation in other countries' wars. America destroyed our Empire and betrayed us at Suez. How can we trust her as an ally today? Britain should be an independent power, not a U.S. satellite.

So we have much in common. Help us make Britain great again. Join us!

Join the National Front!